UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER AD810555 NEW LIMITATION CHANGE TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited **FROM** Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; MAR 1967. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Rocket Propulsion Lab., Research and Technology Div., Edwards AFB, CA 93523. **AUTHORITY** Air Force Rocket Propulsion Lab ltr dtd 5 Feb 1986

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED

AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND

NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON

ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

RESEARCH ON INHIBITED N2O4

Second Quarterly Report

H. E. Dubb A. D. Lev

March 1967

Rocketdyne
A Division of North American Aviation, Inc.
Canoga Park, California

TECHNICAL REPORT AFRPL-TR-67-85

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of AFRPL (RPPR/STINFO), Edwards, California 93523.

Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory Research and Technology Division Edwards Air Force Base, California Air Force Systems Command United States Air Force

NOTICE

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE SECRECY ORDER

A patent application has been filed in the U. S. Patent Office by North American Aviation, Inc. based upon subject matter included herein or related hereto, and the Secrecy Order appended hereto has been issued thereon pursuant to Title 35, United States Code (1952) Sections 181-188. Further dissemination of said subject matter is prohibited except in strict compliance with said order. The recipient of this document is requested to notify all persons who will have access to this material of the Secrecy Order. Penalties for violation of a Secrecy Order include a fine of up to \$10,000 or imprisonment for not more than two years, or both.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent Office Washington DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent Office Washington

SECRECY ORDER

NOTICE: To the applicant above named, his heirs, and any and all his assignees, attorneys and agents, hereinafter designated principals: You are hereby notified that your application as above identified has been found to contain subject matter, the unauthorized disclosure of which might be detrimental to the public safety or defense, and you are ordered in nowise to publish or disclose the invention or any material information with respect thereto, including hitherto unpublished details of the subject matter of said application, in any way to any person not cognizant of the invention prior to the date of the order, including any employee of the principals, but to keep the same secret except by written permission first obtained of the Commissioner of Patents, under the penalties of 35 U.S.C. (1952) 182, 186.

Any other application which contains any significant part of the subject matter of the above identified application falls within the scope of this order. If such other application does not stand under a secrecy order, it and the common subject matter should be brought to the atten-

tion of the Patent Security Division, Patent Office.

If prior to the issuance of the secrecy order any significant part of the subject matter has been revealed to any person, the principals shall promptly inform such person of the secrecy order and the penalties for improper disclosure.

This order should not be construed in any way to mean that the Government has adopted or contemplates adoption of the alleged invention disclosed in this application; nor is it any indication of the value of such invention.

PERMIT FOR PATENT APPLICATION CLASSIFIED BY GOVERNMENT CONTRACT

This permit authorizes the principals, as designated in the secrecy order, to take any action with regard to the subject matter of the application, to the extent authorized by the security requirements of the Government contract which imposes the highest security classification on the subject matter of this application, except that this permit does not authorize export of this application or the subject matter thereof, whether for the foreign filing of corresponding applications or otherwise. Permission for such export must be specifically obtained from the Patent Office.

When U.S. Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, or in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

PAGES NOT FIDED ARE BLANK

AFRPL-TR-67-85

RESEARCH ON INHIBITED ${\rm N_20_4}$

Second Quarterly Report

H. E. Dubb A. D. Lev

March 1967

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of AFRPL (RPPR/STINFO), Edwards, California 93523.

FOREWORD

The research reported herein was supported by the Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory, Research and Technology Division, Edwards Air Force Base, California, Air Force Systems Command, United States Air Force, under Contract F04611-67-C-0008, with Ralph Fargnoli, 1st/Lt/USAF, RPCL, serving as Project Monitor.

The work described covers the period 1 December 1966 through 28 February 1967. The Responsible Scientist for this program is Dr. Hubert E. Dubb of Physical and Engineering Chemistry, which is managed by Dr. K. H. Mueller. The work was conducted by members of Physical Chemistry, supervised by Dr. A. E. Axworthy; Engineering Chemistry, supervised by Dr. W. Unterberg; Analytical Chemistry, supervised by Dr. V. H. Dayan; and the Materials and Processes section, managed by Mr. G. A. Fairbairn. The principal contributors to the program were Dr. Dubb, Mr. A. D. Lev, Mr. G. Brull, and Mr. J. Fisher.

This report has been assigned the Rocketdyne report No. R-6831-2.

Publication of this report does not constitute Air Force approval of the report's findings or conclusions. It is published only for the exchange and stimulation of ideas.

W. H. EBEIKE, Colonel, USAF Chief, Propellant Division

ABSTRACT

This program is concerned with extending the engineering evaluation of the new storable liquid oxidizer INTO which is NTO inhibited with 1 to 3 weight percent FNO_{9} .

Storability tests are being conducted on INTO at ambient temperature and at 70 C in aluminum, iron, and titanium tanks of 10- to 30-gallon volume.

Small-scale storability testing at 130 C for 24 hours has been completed. Small-scale storability tests (20 milliliters) in iron and titanium at ambient temperature and at 70 C are in their second month.

Titanium 6A1-4V specimens stressed to 90,000 psi and stored in INTO at ambient temperature and at 70 C will be observed for possible stress corrosion failures. These tests will start next quarter.

CONTENTS

Foreword	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ii
Abstract	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	iii
Introduct	ion		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
Task I:	Lar	ge-	l'an	ık S	tor	age		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	2
Summary	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	2
Experim	enta	al	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	2
Conclus	ions	a a	ad	Fut	ure	Ef	for	\mathbf{t}	•	•	•		•	•	•			•	•	5
Task II:	Ti	tani	ium	St	res	s C	orr	osi	on	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
Summary	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	6
Experime	ente	ıl	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•			•		6
Conclus	ions	aı	nd	Fut	ure	Ef	for	t	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		7
Task III:	Sn	aal:	l-B	omb	St	ora	bil	ity		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
Summary	•	•	•	•		•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	8
Experime	enta	ıl		•	•	•		•		٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
Conclus	ions	ar	br	Futi	ure	Ef	for	t		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		10
Summary	•	•		•			•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•			11
References	5			•		•				•				•					•	12

TABLES

1.	Tank 1-A	Da ta	Sheet	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
2.	Tank 1-A	Fluor	rine Ma	ss	Bala	ance	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
3.	Results	of 24-	Hour S	tor	age	Tests	at	130	ОС						_			g

INTRODUCTION

The use of nitrogen tetroxide (NTO) has been continually hampered by corrosion problems. Dry NTO is not a highly corrosive liquid when in contact with most common metals of construction, but moist NTO is extremely corrosive because of the formation of nitric and nitrous acids by the reaction of NTO with water.

It has previously been demonstrated under Contract AFO4(611)-10809 (Ref. 1) that the addition of a fluorine oxidizer to NTO leads to a marked reduction of the nitric and nitrous acid content of the propellant with the concurrent production of HF. It has also been shown (Ref. 1) that if the fluorine oxidizer is FNO₂, the resulting oxidizer system is storable at 70 C in passivated aluminum, stainless-steel, and nickel containers.

The present program consists of an extended engineering evaluation of inhibited nitrogen tetroxide (INTO), which is NTO containing 1 to 3 weight percent FNO₂. INTO is being evaluated with respect to its storability at ambient temperature and at 70 C in large tanks of 10-to 30-gallon capacity (Task I), and at ambient temperature, 70 C, and 130 C in small bombs of 20-milliliter capacity (Task III). It is also being evaluated at ambient temperature and at 70 C with respect to its effect upon the stress corrosion problem encountered when NTO is stored under pressure in titanium 6A1-4V tanks (Task II).

TASK I: LARGE-TANK STORAGE

SUMMARY

The objectives of this task are to investigate the storability of inhibited NTC (INTO) in tanks of approximately 10-gallon capacity and to investigate the formation of FNO₂ (the inhibiting agent) in the tanks in situ. To date, the two 18-gallon,347 stainless-steel tanks have been loaded with wet NTO (0.22 weight percent water equivalent) and then inhibited with FNO₂. A 4.4 mole percent FNO₂ concentration has been detected in one of the tanks. The other has yet to be sampled. The 10 remaining tanks (aluminum, steel, and titanium) are at the test facility and will be loaded shortly.

EXPERIMENTAL

The two 347 stainless-steel tanks have been loaded employing the experimental procedures outlined previously (Ref. 2). The two tanks, No. 1-A and 2-T, were filled with 168 and 162 pounds of wet NTO, respectively. Fluorine was bubbled through the tanks at an average rate of 0.07 lb/hr. This slow rate ensures the formation of FNO_2 , whereas rapid fluorine addition might result in the formation of some FNO. The reaction leading to FNO_2 formation $(\text{N}_2\text{O}_4 + \text{F}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{FNO}_2)$ was well controlled in the loading operation with no pressure or temperature surges being detected.

Fluorine was injected into the tanks at pressures up to 100 psi instead of the anticipated 50 psi. This 100-psi loading pressure was necessary because of higher than expected tank ullage pressures. Tables 1 and 2 show data from the logbook for the loading of tank 1-A, and illustrate the ullage pressure history. For the FNO₂ concentrations in question, the vapor pressure of the INTO should range between 15 and 25 psig (Ref. 1). As Table 1 shows, ullage pressures have been as high as 70 psig. Two reasons have been postulated for this high pressure: (1) an FNO₂-nitric

TABLE 1

TANK 1-A DATA SHEET

Date, 1967	F ₂ Loading Volume Pressure, psig	Tank Ullage Pressure, psig	Remarks
2-7	50 35 49	7.5 25 10	Tank ullage vented
2-8	35.5 58 44.5 65	28.5 5 40 15 50	Tank vented to 10 psig Tank ullage vented
	55 78 65 85 73.5	21.5 60 30 65	Tank ullage vented Tank ullage vented
2:-9	80	27.5 50	Ullage dropped 28 psig overnight (vented to 27.5)
2-13	73.5 72 50	0 45	Tank ullage vented
2-14	79 60	11 54	Ullage dropped 18 psig overnight (vented to 11)
2–15	84	14	Ullage dropped 15 psig overnight (vented to 14)
2-16	61 84	57.5 20	Ullage dropped 12.5 psig overnight (vented to 20)
	67 84 70	63 20 66	Tank ullage vented
2-17	90 72	18 70	Ullage dropped 15 psig overnight (vented to 18)
220	95	19	Ullage dropped 20 psig over week- end (vented to 19)
2-21	70 	67 61 36	Ullage vented and tank stored

TANK 1-A FLUORINE MASS BALANCE

TABLE 2

	Weight, pounds
Wet NTO Loaded	168
Total H ₂ 0 Equivalent in NTO	0.37
F, Required to React H,0	0.78
FNO ₂ Concentration (4.4 mole percent)	
$_{2}^{2}$ as FNO_{2}	1.52
F ₂ Reacted 0.78 + 1.52	= 2.30
F ₂ Added	2.65
F ₂ Balance (vented or passivated out)	0.35

and nitrous acid reaction resulting in gaseous oxygen evolution and (2) a slow rate of dissolution of FNO $_9$ into the bulk liquid.

The mass balance in Table 2 shows that the formation of FNO_2 is nearly quantitative. Only one-seventh of the fluorine added contributes to venting losses and tank passivation. Additional passivation will probably take place during storage. The remarks in Table 1 show that the tank ullage pressure decreases 12.5 to 28 ps overnight. Temperature drop overnight could account for no more than 5 psi of this decrease. It was noted during the loading of tank 2-T that the higher the ullage pressure, the greater the pressure drop overnight. It appears that equilibrium of FNO_2 between the vapor phase and the solution is being established slowly, thus the second postulate of slow dissolution of FNO_2 is favored.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE EFFORT

The tank loading operation will be expedited by system and procedure modifications. An attempt will be made to increase the dissolution rate by perforating all tank diplegs. This will decrease \mathbf{F}_2 bubble size, which will increase the \mathbf{F}_2 -NTO interface area. This increased interface area should enhance the rates of both the FNO $_2$ formation and dissolution.

Rocketdyne experience thus far has indicated that there is little hazard involved in the FNO_2 formation reaction. The system will be modified so that fluorine can be bubbled through all eight of the 10-gallon tanks simultaneously. NTO will be transferred to the tanks individually, but the tanks will then be set up behind the blastproof wall and loaded exactly as described in Ref. 2. The only differences will be that the F_2 fill line will be manifolded to the eight tank loading valves and the vent line will be manifolded to the eight tank vent valves. The 30-gallon titanium tanks will be loaded individually. The tank sampling procedure will not be modified. All of the remaining tanks will be loaded during the next quarter.

TASK II: TITANIUM STRESS CORROSION

SUMMARY

The titanium stress corrosion frames and test specimens have been machined, cleaned, and prepared for testing. The determination of the elastic modulus of the material used to make the test specimens has been completed. Three control samples have been loaded into stress frames at 90,000 psi and are presently being stored in a desiccator. The titanium 6A1-4V tanks in which the tests are to be conducted are scheduled for delivery on 15 Airil 1967. Testing will commence as soon as the tanks are received and cleaned.

EXPERIMENTAL

The construction of the stressing frames and 0.125-inch-diameter tensile specimens for the stress corresion tests has been completed. Design drawings for these components were given in the first quarterly report of this program (Ref. 2).

The value of the elastic modulus (ϵ) was obtained from the stress (σ) - strain (E) curves of control specimens ($\sigma = \epsilon$ E). The stress on the test specimens is established by determining the imposed strain. The same extensometer used for measuring the elastic modulus is being used for stressing the test specimens. This technique eliminates errors caused by variances in the calibration of extensometers and recording equipment. All materials used in the stressing frames as well as the test specimens were solution treated and aged (1750 F, 1 hour, water quenched; and 1000 F, 8 hours, air cooled) to a minimum ultimate tensile strength of 160,000 psi and yield strength of 150,000 psi. Three control specimens have been loaded into stress frames at 90,000 psi in this manner and are now being stored in a desiccator. Pressurization tanks in which the stress corrosion specimens will be stored in contact with LNTO are on order, and delivery is scheduled for 15 April 1967.

A schematic of the tanks was published previously (Ref. 2). The only change from the schematic has been to decrease the cylindrical diameter of the tank from 9 to 8-1/2 inches and to increase tank wall thickness from 0.040 to 0.060 inch. There will still be sufficient space to load the test specimens in the smaller diameter tanks, and the increased wall thickness will provide a greater safety factor. The tanks are being fabricated by tungsten/inert gas welding of Ti-6A1-4V in the solution-treated condition. After welding, they will be aged 6 to 8 hours at 1000 F. The aging will serve the dual purpose of strengthening the parent metal and of stress relieving the weldments. The tanks will be thoroughly cleaned after aging to remove blue oxide interference film from their inner surfaces.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE EFFORT

The specimens will be stored in INTO at ambient temperature and at 70 C for periods of 60 days. They will be examined by X-ray radiography twice each week for the first 4 weeks and once weekly thereafter. The rate of failure of the samples in INTO will be compared to the rate of failure of similar specimens exposed to NTO where such data are available in the literature. If failures occur, metallographic, fractographic, electron probe, and chemical analysis of the fractured specimens will be performed in an attempt to determine the mechanism of the failures. Storage of the stressed Ti-6Al-4V specimens in INTO will begin as soon as the pressurization tanks are received.

TASK III: SMALL-BOMB STORABILITY

SUMMARY

Small-bomb storability tests are being conducted with INTO prepared from dry NTO (< 0.10 weight percent $\rm H_2O$) and of INTO prepared from wet NTO (> 0.10 weight percent $\rm H_2O$). The bombs are fabricated of three materials: (1) titanium 6A1-4V, (2) type 250 maraging steel, and (3) type A 286 steel welded with Hastelloy-W rod. They have an internal volume of 20 milliliters. Storage tests for 24 hours at 130 C have been completed. $\rm FNO_2$ was found in the INTO at the completion of the tests after the bombs had been sufficiently passivated. The titanium bombs required considerably more passivation than the steel bombs. Less $\rm FNO_2$ was detected in them even after extensive passivation. Twelve-month tests are now in progress at ambient temperature and at 70 C.

Experimental

The bombs were loaded according to the procedure described in the first quarterly progress report of this program (Ref. 2). Analysis for FNO₂ was carried out by expanding a sample of the liquid phase of the INTO in the bombs to 200 mm Hg pressure in an infrared cell. The concentration of FNO₂ was then calculated from the absorbance at 12.18 microns (NF stretch; Ref. 1). All bombs were passivated for 96 hours at 70 C with a 30 mole percent solution of FNO₂ in NTO prior to the start of the tests. The results of the 130 C tests are summarized in Table 3.

It may be seen from Table 3 that the FNO_2 contents of the bombs made of 250 moraging steel and of A286 steel welded with Hastelloy W were reduced only approximately 25 to 30 percent during the 24-hour test. However, the FNO_2 was completely consumed in the titanium 6A1-4V bombs during the first 24 hours at 130 C. After the addition of more FNO_2 (equivalent to more extensive passivation), the bombs lost 50 to 75 percent of their FNO_2 in 24 hours at 130 C.

TABLE 3 RESULTS OF 24-HOUR STORAGE TESTS AT 130 C

	Total Water Content,	FNO ₂ Content (m/o), hours								
Bomb Material	w/o	0	24	48	76					
250 Maraging Steel	0.08*	20.0*	14.0							
	0.2**	12.5**	10.0							
A 286 Steel Welded	0.08	20.0	14.0							
With Hastello W	0.2	12.5	9.4							
Titanium 6Al-4V	0.08 ს 2	20.0 12.5	0(15.6)***	7.5 3.0(8.3)***	3.8 1.9					

^{*}Initial water content of the NTO was 0.08 w/o; F_2 was added until FNO_2 reached 20.0 m/o.

**An additional 0.12 w/o water was then added to part of the INTO resulting in an initial FNO_2 content of 12.5 m/o for the "wet" NTO samples.

***FNO₂ was added to bring FNO_2 content to that shown in parentheses.

The 12-month ambient temperature and 70 C storage tests were started. The bombs were loaded with the same solutions of INTO as in the 130 C tests (Table 3). The bombs will be sampled periodically during the remaining 10 months of these tests.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE EFFORT

The 130 C tests indicate that titanium 6A1-4V either requires considerably more passivation with INTO than the steel alloys or that INTO is not storable in titanium for extended periods of time. The results of the 12-month ambient temperature and 70 C storability tests should clarify the situation.

SUMMARY

The tanks for the Task I large-tank storage tests are all in house and loading has commenced. The two 347 stainless-steel tanks have been loaded. A manifold system has been constructed which will allow the simultaneous filling of 8 of the remaining 10 tanks.

All of the equipment for the Task II titanium stress corrosion study has been constructed with the exception of the tanks in which the stressed specimens are to be stored. The tanks are scheduled to be delivered by 15 April 1967. The elastic modulus of the titanium stock which is to be tested has been determined.

The Task II 24-hour, 130 C, small-scale storage tests have been completed. The 12-month ambient temperature and 70 C tests are now in progress. The 130 C tests indicated that titanium 6A1-4V requires considerably more passivation to INTO than do 250 maraging steel and A286 steel welded with Hastelloy W.

REFERENCES

- 1. AFRPL-TR-66-320, Final Report, Inhibited N204, Contract No. AF04(611)-10809, Rocketdyne, a Division of North American Aviation; Inc., Canoga Park, California, January 1967.
- 2. AFRPL-TR-66-347, First Quarterly Report, Research on Inhibited N204, Contract No. F04611-67-C-0008, Rocketdyne, a Division of North American Aviation, Inc., Canoga Park, California, January 1967.

UNCIASSIFIED
Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D (Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)										
Rocketdyne, a Division of North American Inclassified										
Aviation, Inc.,	. 10411	Uncl	assified							
6633 Canoga Avenue, Canoga Park, Cal	lifornia									
3 REPORT TITLE										
RESEARCH ON INHIBITED N ₂ 0 ₄										
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Quarterly Report (1 December 1966 th	rough 28 Febru	iary 196	7)							
5 AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)										
Dubb, H.E.; Lev, A. D.										
6. REPORT DATE 30 March 1967	74. TOTAL NO. OF P	AGES	75 NO. OF REFS							
e. contract or grant no. F04611-67-C-0008	94. ORIGINATOR'S R	EPORT NUME	er(S)							
b project no	R-6831-2									
		NO(8) (4								
c	\$b OTHER REPORT NO(\$) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)									
d 10 AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES	AFRPL-TR-6	7 - 85								
This document is subject to special										
to foreign gove.nments or foreign na approval of AFRPL (RPPR/STINFO), Edv			nly with prior							
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILI	TARY ACTIV	VITY							
	1		Propulsion Laboratory Lology Division							
	Edwards, C									
13 ABSTRACT										
This program is concerned with extending the engineering evaluation of the new storable liquid oxidizer INTO which is NTO inhibited with 1 to 3 weight percent ${ m FNO}_2$.										
Storability tests are being conducted 70 C in aluminum, iron, and titanium										
Small-scale storability testing at 130 C for 24 hours has been completed. Small-scale storability tests (20 milliliters) in iron and titanium at ambient temperature and at 70 C are in their second month.										
Titanium 6A1-4V specimens stressed to 90,000 psi and stored in INTO at ambient temperature and at 70 C will be observed for possible stress corrosion failures These tests will start next quarter.										

Security Classification

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.
- 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.
- 2h. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
- 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
- 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
- 5. AUTHOR(8): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
- 6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7e. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES. Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 86, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.
- 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 95. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(8): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).
- AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limlitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. 8. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

- 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.
- 12. SPONBORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.
- 13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual aummary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phreasa that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification